

Message

---

**From:** Jeng, Cy@DTSC [Cy.Jeng@dtsc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** 4/4/2016 5:30:11 PM  
**To:** Tasnif-abbasi, Maryam@DTSC [Maryam.Tasnif-abbasi@dtsc.ca.gov]  
**CC:** Wilson, Patrick [Wilson.Patrick@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Confidential Draft Deliberative Work Product  
**Attachments:** 2006AMWP\_dustactionlevel.docx

As requested, please review the draft before I send it to Tim.

Patrick, your feedback is also welcome and greatly appreciated.

CY

---

**From:** Tasnif-abbasi, Maryam@DTSC  
**Sent:** Friday, April 01, 2016 11:16 AM  
**To:** Jeng, Cy@DTSC  
**Cc:** Reese, Timothy@DTSC  
**Subject:** Re: Confidential Draft Deliberative Work Product

Cy, can you double check the air monitoring plan? I wonder if that is what is being referred to.

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 1, 2016, at 10:52 AM, Jeng, Cy@DTSC <[Cy.Jeng@dtsc.ca.gov](mailto:Cy.Jeng@dtsc.ca.gov)> wrote:

Tim,

Can you find out from Mr. Bailey which "site consultant" and document where this PM10 action level was listed? This value is very low, and more information is needed before coming up with a response.

Thanks, CY

---

**From:** Tasnif-abbasi, Maryam@DTSC  
**Sent:** Friday, April 01, 2016 10:30 AM  
**To:** Reese, Timothy@DTSC  
**Cc:** Jeng, Cy@DTSC  
**Subject:** Confidential Draft Deliberative Work Product

Tim I included the links below. CY, could you take a look at the first question and respond? Thanks.

**Confidential Draft Deliberative Work Product**

On Mar 30, 2016, at 5:45 PM, Reese, Timothy@DTSC <[Timothy.Reese@dtsc.ca.gov](mailto:Timothy.Reese@dtsc.ca.gov)> wrote:

Tim

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** <investhazmat@aol.com>  
**Date:** March 30, 2016 at 5:32:37 PM PDT  
**To:** <Timothy.Reese@dtsc.ca.gov>,  
<ana.mascarenas@dtsc.ca.gov>, <arsenio.mataka@calepa.ca.gov>  
**Cc:** <cmacduff@PE.com>, <penny.n@ccaej.org>,  
<jean.k@ccaej.org>, <msoubirous@riversideca.gov>,  
<jburnard@riversideca.gov>, <pdavis@riversideca.gov>,  
<liza@consumerwatchdog.org>, <kevindaw@aol.com>,  
<regaffairs@aol.com>  
**Subject:** Air sampling statement to Cassie Macduff with the  
Press Enterprise

Dear Mr. Reese:

The site consultant calculated a PM10 action level of 7 ugm/m3  
above which  
would indicate elevated levels of PCBs; onsite air sampling indicated that  
the action level was  
exceeded 53 days covering July,2013-January,2014. Please address  
this issue  
in writing.

**Please provide the complete air sampling plan for the proposed  
April, 2016  
cleanup; this would include PM10 and actual PCB testing. This is  
time  
sensitive issue for the community.**

You stated that air samples were taken daily and tested for PCBs every  
day for the  
first weeks of each cleanup and every week thereafter;" No PCBs were  
detected".  
Please provide the site documentation and sample analysis documents  
for the  
stated air samples.

Phase 1. Lab Reports.

Phase 2. Lab Reports.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Bruce Bailey  
CCAIEJ Member/Advisor